	Case 1:20-cv-00575-NONE-SKO Docum	ent 15	Filed 08/10/20	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	DARONTA T. LEWIS,	Cas	se No. 1:20-cv-005	575-NONE-SKO (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION		
13	v.	FA	SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO SUBMIT APPLICATION TO		
14	G. UGWUEZE, et al.,		PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS 21-DAY DEADLINE		
15	Defendants.	21-			
16		_			
17	On May 4, 2020, the Court ordered Plaintiff, within 45 days, to submit an application to				
18	proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) or pay the \$400 filing fee for this action. (Doc. 7.) Although				
19	more than the allowed time has passed, Plaintiff has not filed an IFP application or paid the filing				
20	fee.				
21	The Local Rules, corresponding with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, provide,				
22	"[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with any order of the Court may be grounds for				
23	the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court."				
24	Local Rule 110. "District courts have inherent power to control their dockets" and, in exercising				
25	that power, may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. Thompson v. Housing Auth.,				
26	City of Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action based on a				
27	party's failure to prosecute an action, obey a court order, or comply with local rules. See, e.g.,				
28	Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with a				

court order to amend a complaint); Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130-31 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and to comply with local rules). Based on the foregoing, the Court ORDERS Plaintiff to show cause in writing, within 21 days of the date of service of this order, why this action should not be dismissed. Alternatively, within that same time, Plaintiff may submit an application to proceed in forma pauperis, completed and signed, or he may pay the \$400 filing fee for this action. Failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal of this action. The Court DIRECTS the Clerk of the Court to attach a prisoner IFP application to this order. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1st Sheila K. Oberta Dated: **August 10, 2020** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:20-cv-00575-NONE-SKO Document 15 Filed 08/10/20 Page 2 of 2